Page 1

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE CONTROL BOARD

+ + + + + MEETING

IN THE MATTER OF:

:

Micherie, LLC

t/a Cheerz : Fact Finding

: Hearing

7303 Georgia Ave NW Retailer CR

License No. 95178 :

Case No.

-----:

OCTOBER 1, 2014

The Alcoholic Beverage Control
Board met in the Alcoholic Beverage Control
Hearing Room, Reeves Building, 2000 14th
Street N.W., Washington, D.C., Chairperson
Ruthanne Miller, presiding.

PRESENT:

RUTHANNE MILLER, Chairperson DONALD BROOKS, Member NICK ALBERTI, Member HERMAN JONES, Member MIKE SILVERSTEIN, Member HECTOR RODRIGUEZ, Member JAMES SHORT, Member

ALSO PRESENT:

	Page 2
1	PROCEEDINGS
2	2:07 p.m.
3	CHAIR MILLER: Okay. I am going
4	to call the fact finding here for the
5	establishment called Cheerz, located at 7303
6	Georgia Avenue Northwest, License Number
7	95178, and ANC 4B.
8	This is a fact finding, and
9	everyone who is here to speak to this case
10	can come forward and take a seat at the
11	table. And when you do, there should be a
12	sheet of paper to sign in on.
13	When you're ready, if you could
14	identify yourselves for the record, please.
15	MR. BURCHELL: Good afternoon.
16	Kelly Burchell, legal counsel on behalf of
17	Cheerz.
18	CHAIR MILLER: Okay.
19	MR. GRAVES: Marvin Graves,
20	owner, Cheerz.
21	CHAID MILIED. Obay

MS. WATSON: Deanna Watson,

1 owner, Cheerz.

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2 CHAIR MILLER: All right.

3 MR. MCPHERSON: Good afternoon.

I'm Alpha McPherson, President of GFEDDS

5 Neighborhood Incorporation.

CHAIR MILLER: All right.

MS. GREEN: I'm Sara Green. I'm the Commissioner of 4B01.

CHAIR MILLER: Okay. I just want to just state for us to start that on July 16, 2014 the Board issued an Order entitled Notice of Intent to Nullify and Void Settlement Agreement and Stay of Enforcement of Settlement Agreement in which the Board found that the Settlement Agreement attached to the Cheerz license had terminated by the terms of its own Settlement Agreement. we, in that Order, though, invited anyone who had an objection to file an Objection, and then stated that we would have a contested fact finding hearing to hear from you all.

1	Basically, this hearing, no one
2	is under oath, it's not testimony. It's
3	just what you might call argument, or
4	whatever. Present whatever arguments you
5	want to make, if you still have them, that
6	the Settlement Agreement has not terminated.
7	So that's the topic of this hearing.
8	So I think that we should start
9	with those who have objection, if you want
10	to make your objections, and then if the
11	applicant or the establishment wants to
12	address them, they can. And we're basically
13	here to listen. We already have issued an
14	Order, but we will listen to your concerns
15	and then take any under advisement. Okay.
16	Would you like to express
17	MR. MCPHERSON: Yes.
18	CHAIR MILLER: Okay. As you
19	filed an Objection. Isn't that correct?
20	MR. MCPHERSON: Yes, I did.
21	CHAIR MILLER: Okay. It says
22	Objection to Notice of Intent to Nullify and

agreement says that, your Order says that
you intend to null and void because the
licensee has no connection to Silver Sands
other than possessing its license, the
agreement is of course tied to the license.
That's what the agreement is about.

And is Cheerz leasing the premise. Cheerz is leasing the premise from the owner, to the Gordons, and there is an important connection there. That part is not clear, but I do suspect that a lease is there with the Gordons.

absolutely own the license right now? Is it their license? Could it legally sell that license today without having to go through the Gordons? Could they transfer it? Those are my questions.

CHAIR MILLER: Okay. They're questions, as opposed to arguments.

MR. MCPHERSON: Well, I mean, they're questions, and they're for argument

MR. BURCHELL:

22

Okay. No problem.

	rage
1	CHAIR MILLER: Okay.
2	MR. BURCHELL: I'm going to
3	address the issues that were just raised by
4	Mr. McPherson first
5	CHAIR MILLER: Okay.
6	MR. BURCHELL: and then
7	supplement our Argument that we submitted on
8	the 28th of August. So I'll make sure to
9	make this clear so everyone understands
10	where I'm going and I'm not skipping around.
11	Okay?
12	CHAIR MILLER: Okay.
13	MR. BURCHELL: If there is any
14	questions, please stop me and seek
15	clarification. Okay.
16	First of all, with the question
17	of whether paragraph 22 covers any business,
18	we submit that the plain language of the
19	Voluntary agreement is pretty clear in that
20	regard. The plain language states, the term
21	of this agreement shall be the entire period

during which Appellant, Dr. Wesley Gordon,

or any company established by applicant or Dr. Wesley Gordon holds any ABC license for any business at the premises.

You cannot add additional language to that provision, and we submit that the Board got it right in the first place, that this provision only applies to Dr. Wesley Gordon, the applicant, which is Caribe Food Corporation, and any establishment that is set up by either Caribe, namely a subsidiary, or something that's set up by Dr. Wesley Gordon. And in this case, we do not have any of those connections.

Micherie, LLC is owned by three individuals, two of them which have already identified themselves on the record, Mr.

Marvin Graves and Ms. Watson. The third person is not available today, but her name is on the Settlement Agreement that's already been submitted. They have no relation to Dr. Wesley Gordon. They are not

part of Caribe Food Corporation. So in that sense, the plain language of paragraph 22 should be held. It doesn't transfer with, the Settlement Agreement doesn't transfer over to Micherie. It only transfers if, one, like I said, people are affiliated in a business with Caribe Corporation or Dr. Wesley Gordon.

Now here's the other big thing, and I didn't argue this in my objection, and it's something, this is a new argument, so just note it for the record.

CHAIR MILLER: Okay.

MR. BURCHELL: When I was going through the statutes, now one big part of this agreement is that there cannot be any modification of the agreement without the parties all negotiating it. Okay. So, in other words, for there to be a transfer of ownership from Caribe Corporation, and the license, to someone else, under the terms of this Settlement Agreement, there would have

Settlement Agreement. However, that's not allowed under the law. Under DC Code, I'll give you the exact provision. Under DC Code 25-4-46.02 that this involves restraints on the ability of an applicant or existing licensee to operate its business, and it specifically identifies notifying the transfer of ownership as one of the provisions within any Settlement Agreement that's not enforceable.

The other provision that stands out is requirements that the ANC or community members must approve of future ownership changes. So in that set of circumstances -- now this is assuming that you think that the plain meaning of paragraph 22 does include Micherie and does extend, then there would have to be some type of renegotiation of the agreement, which that provision is not allowed, is not sanctioned by law. That's our second

argument to that provision. We defer back to the plain language -- moving on, brought my notes here.

The next issue is whether there is a lease between Dr. Wesley Gordon and Micherie, LLC. We admit to that. We admit that we are renting the building, but in the Settlement Agreement, that does not have anything to do with the liquor license.

Just because they rent the structure does not mean that the Settlement Agreement automatically applies, because under that provision, if anyone rented out that spot from Dr. Gordon, then that Settlement Agreement would suddenly apply to them.

That would make very little sense.

The other provision is that
within -- I'm sorry, moving on. I'm jumping
around, and I apologize. The other thing
that is extremely important about our
situation is that there is, within this
Settlement Agreement, there's nothing that

1	discusses the operating of other business in
2	there. And this goes back to my last
3	argument. Like I said, anyone could go into
4	that, rent that building, use it for other
5	reasons, and under their argument if it was
6	rented, that Settlement Agreement would
7	apply. That's certainly not the case.
8	Now, yes. And that's something I
9	was just reminded by my clients. Dr. Wesley
10	Gordon has passed away, so they rent it from
11	his wife, this building from his wife, not
12	Dr. Wesley Gordon. Now let me go through
13	the actual Voluntary Settlement Agreement
14	also, step by step. Even if you think that
15	
16	CHAIR MILLER: You're going to go
17	through it? Wait a second. I'm not sure
18	that's necessary.
19	MR. BURCHELL: That's no problem.
20	CHAIR MILLER: I mean, I think
21	that the basis of our Order was 22,

22

paragraph 22.

1	MR. BURCHELL: Yes.
2	CHAIR MILLER: And so I don't
3	believe we need to go much beyond that,
4	because, and 22, and I'm just going to
5	repeat it for everybody's benefit. And why,
6	and you talked about the plain meaning of
7	those words.
8	It says, the term of this
9	agreement shall be the entire period during
10	which applicant, Dr. Wesley Gordon, he is no
11	longer alive, but, or any company
12	established by applicant or Dr. Wesley
13	Gordon holds any ABC license for any
14	business at the premises. So I guess I want
15	to ask the objectors, are you aware of a
16	business established by Dr. Gordon that
17	relates to this paragraph? Dr. Wesley
18	Gordon is not alive, so then it says, or any
19	company established by applicant or Dr.
20	Wesley Gordon. Yes.
21	MS. GREEN: May I, I haven't seen
22	the lease. I mean, we should, I think this

is something that we're asking to be
provided here. I haven't seen the lease,
but, you know, I'm assuming, and maybe I'm
assuming incorrectly, and this is something
that the applicant can provide, that the
company established by Dr. Gordon still
remains and that this --

CHAIR MILLER: But it says that it holds an ABC license for the business at the premises.

MS. GREEN: No, no. I
understand. There's a two-part thing to
that. So that's, the relationship that the
company established by him through the
lease, I'm guessing it's still there because
of the lease. The lease is with the company
that is established by Dr. Gordon.

But the other question I have, and I know this sometimes happens with other ABC licenses, and that is, the question is, is the transfer of the license absolute?

That's my question. Tomorrow, if Cheerz

1	somehow wants to transfer that license to
2	somebody else because they've decided they
3	no longer want to operate the business, that
4	they would like to go to a, you know, they
5	would like to leave, can they do that
6	without permission of Dr. Gordon? Is there
7	any way that the Gordon business that the,
8	you know, this business that Mrs. Gordon is
9	operating, what her husband had, is she
10	totally out of this? Do they have absolute
11	free rein to dispose of this license the way
12	they want to without, is there a way Mrs.
13	Gordon, you know, could, you know, could get
14	future, you know, funds from this license?
15	Are they, you know, because I
16	know there were other ABC licensees that,
17	you know, that make payments on a license.
18	They don't, you know, they, because licenses
19	can sometimes transfer for a great deal of
20	money, and there are payments made on a
21	continuing basis rather than in a lump sum.

So that's our question. We don't know the

1	answer. I don't know the answer. And I
2	filed my letter for the purpose of getting
3	this question answered.
4	CHAIR MILLER: Okay. So I don't
5	think that the Board's Order was an
6	invitation for you to ask us questions to
7	answer.
8	MS. GREEN: Well, I'm asking,
9	we're asking the applicant. We're asking
10	the applicant. And no, I think it's fair, I
11	mean, because we can't compel, we ourselves
12	cannot compel, the applicant to produce, you
13	know, business documents that, and my
14	request would be that the Board can ask that
15	question and that it is a fair question to
16	ask.
17	CHAIR MILLER: Do we want to let
18	him answer first? Do you have
19	MEMBER ALBERTI: Well, actually,
20	I think I have a question related to that.
21	CHAIR MILLER: Okay. All right.
22	MEMBER ALBERTI: Ms. Green brings

1	up an interesting point. I mean, to sort of
2	put it more simply, let's call a simple case
3	where current licensees, and I've forgotten
4	the name. But the current licensees who
5	have just been issued the license to, they
6	decide that they're going to transfer it
7	back to Mr. Gordon established wait,
8	wait.
9	MS. GREEN: Okay.
10	MEMBER ALBERTI: A company that
11	was established by Mr. Gordon. Let's decide
12	they want to do that. Your question is,
13	well, this has been declared moot. Does it
14	go back into effect or is it continued? So
15	basically, that's your question, I think.
16	MS. GREEN: Actually, it is a
17	very, it's not quite that. It's something a
18	little different.
19	MEMBER ALBERTI: It's a variation
20	of that, all right. But that
21	MS. GREEN: Right.
22	MEMBER ALBERTI: captures really

	Page 19
1	what can happen, your fear is, right?
2	MS. GREEN: That's one
3	MEMBER ALBERTI: I know, I know
4	what you're, it's one scenario. Right.
5	Okay. I want to ask, sir, you're an
6	attorney, your name?
7	MR. BURCHELL: Kelly Burchell.
8	MEMBER ALBERTI: Mr. Burchell,
9	does the lease agreement contain any
10	provision where the license would revert
11	back to, the lease, the owner of the
12	building if there was any default?
13	MR. BURCHELL: Off the top of my
14	head, I do not believe so. However, I do
15	know that when Cheerz had the license
16	transferred over to their possession, they
17	were led to believe by ABRA that they could
18	transfer it back to anyone else. They could
19	
20	MEMBER ALBERTI: I mean, I don't
21	want to get to that.
22	MR. BURCHELL: Yep.

	rage 20
1	MEMBER ALBERTI: They can.
2	MR. BURCHELL: Yes.
3	MEMBER ALBERTI: They can. The
4	question is, what happens to the Settlement
5	Agreement when they do that? And I don't
6	know the answer to that. I don't know. I'm
7	just posing that question. But aside from
8	that, my question to you, and that's
9	something that the Board
10	MR. BURCHELL: Yes.
11	MEMBER ALBERTI: I'll let the
12	Chair direct you in terms of refining that.
13	But my question to you is, so the license,
14	so if this lessee decides, doesn't reneges
15	on the rent or whatever and goes belly up,
16	is there any provision in the lease where
17	the owner of the building would have a claim
18	to that license? Is it, is it in any way
19	collateral?
20	MR. BURCHELL: Off the top of my
21	head, because I don't have those notes right
22	in front of mo. Ilm not 100 porgont guro. I

	Page 21
1	do believe that the answer to that question
2	is no.
3	MEMBER ALBERTI: Ask your
4	clients?
5	MR. BURCHELL: If we could have a
6	moment, but, so I can give you an honest
7	answer.
8	MEMBER ALBERTI: I really would -
9	_
10	MR. BURCHELL: Yes.
11	MEMBER ALBERTI: That would be
12	helpful.
13	MR. BURCHELL: But one thing that
14	I would like to say is that when it comes to
15	this Settlement Agreement, if it were to
16	transfer back to a corporation that was
17	established by Dr. Wesley Gordon, I do not
18	see any reason why the Settlement Agreement
19	would then all of a sudden become effective.
20	Because under paragraph 22, that's what it
21	says. That's an agreement that is clear in
22	paragraph 22. It just doesn't extend to

we're, like we said, we're not 100 percent

MR. BURCHELL: Yes. Yes.

21

22

But

1	it went out of business, but I do know, when
2	I was researching this case
3	CHAIR MILLER: Okay.
4	MR. BURCHELL: earlier today, I
5	do note for the record that in 2013 Caribe
6	Food Corporation appeared, it was the May
7	10th, 2013 status hearing, that they did have
8	the liquor license renewed for purposes of
9	safekeeping, and it noted in the record that
10	the corporation was inactive as of that
11	time. However, when the license was renewed
12	in 2002, renewed and/or modified in 2002,
13	2004, 2007, 2010, it does appear that the
14	business was active at that time. And
15	that's based upon the reports that came
16	before the Board.
17	CHAIR MILLER: So, so the license
18	was with Silver Sands in 2010?
19	MR. BURCHELL: It was with, yes.
20	In 2010, and then in 2013 whereupon in 2014
21	is when it transferred over to Cheerz.
22	CHAIR MILLER: And how did that

_	
1	CHAIR MILLER: Okay. So the
2	chain was broken after Silver Sands.
3	Correct? Okay. And it would be, okay.
4	MEMBER ALBERTI: So am I correct
5	in understanding that the license that you
6	own was obtained from Caribe Food. Right?
7	MR. BURCHELL: Yes.
8	MEMBER ALBERTI: And there was a
9	sales agreement, I assume, associated with
10	that?
11	MR. BURCHELL: It was as a result
12	of the lease agreement. There wasn't a
13	sales agreement, no.
	sales agreement, no. MEMBER ALBERTI: Explain that to
14	
14 15	MEMBER ALBERTI: Explain that to
14 15 16	MEMBER ALBERTI: Explain that to me. MR. BURCHELL: Basically, under
14 15 16 17	MEMBER ALBERTI: Explain that to me. MR. BURCHELL: Basically, under
14 15 16 17	MEMBER ALBERTI: Explain that to me. MR. BURCHELL: Basically, under the terms of the lease agreement, they
14 15 16 17 18	MEMBER ALBERTI: Explain that to me. MR. BURCHELL: Basically, under the terms of the lease agreement, they agreed to transfer the license.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MEMBER ALBERTI: Explain that to me. MR. BURCHELL: Basically, under the terms of the lease agreement, they agreed to transfer the license. MEMBER ALBERTI: Who agreed?

1	obtain the license?
2	MR. BURCHELL: That I do not
3	know. I do know that, like I said, this is
4	speculation, purely, but let's assume that
5	if Mr. Gordon passed away and he was an
6	owner in the corporation, that it's possible
7	that Mrs. Gordon may have assumed the
8	ownership interest once Mr. Gordon passed.
9	MEMBER ALBERTI: Right. In
10	Caribe Corporation.
11	MR. BURCHELL: Yes.
12	MEMBER ALBERTI: She would have
13	inherited his interest in Caribe Food
14	Corporation
15	MR. BURCHELL: Yes. And yes.
16	I'm not familiar with
17	MEMBER ALBERTI: But I'm
18	speculating.
19	MR. BURCHELL: their Articles of
20	Incorporation or anything like that, but I
21	do know for a fact that the license was held

by Caribe Food Corporation in just a holding

MR. BURCHELL:

22

That's okay.

MR. SHORT: Okay.

one?

21

22

The second

Settlement Agreement and it does not stand

alone as its own agreement.

21

CHAIR MILLER:

that it had already expired.

21

22

Yes, and we found

	Page 33
1	MR. BURCHELL: Yes.
2	CHAIR MILLER: But that's the
3	status of that one right now.
4	MR. BURCHELL: Okay.
5	MR. SHORT: That clears some
6	things
7	CHAIR MILLER: Okay.
8	MR. SHORT: I guess the reason
9	I'm asking these questions is because I've
10	read some Settlement Agreements since I've
11	become a member of the Board, and I've
12	noticed that a lot of these agreements are
13	because, businesses in the community get
14	along pretty good because of the agreements.
15	One side has respect for the other.
16	MR. BURCHELL: Yes.
17	
18	MR. SHORT: How would this, the
19	total elimination of this agreement affect
20	the community and your business?
21	MR. BURCHELL: Actually, very
22	little, because we've already executed

another Settlement Agreement with ANC 4B,
which was ratified on September 22, so there
was --

MR. SHORT: Of this year?

MR. BURCHELL: Yes, yes. Just about, a little over a week ago actually, and that should be part of your file. I know it was sent in earlier this week by ANC 4B. I can email it over right now. But there is already a Settlement Agreement with the ANC 4B. This is basically another Settlement Agreement that we're just seeking not to be enforced by it. Now --

to get us focus and narrowed here also because there was a legal here, and we came to the conclusion based upon the information that we had, based upon the, which was mainly based on the clear words of paragraph 22, that this agreement had terminated by its own terms, and that's basically the subject, the limited subject, of this

1	hearing.
2	If our assumptions were wrong,
3	for instance, if there was another business
4	that we weren't aware of for instance, that
5	does or did operate, ABC establishment that
6	was owned by or established by Dr. Wesley
7	Gordon, let us know, because that's what we
8	based our legal decision on.
9	MR. SHORT: Thank you for the
10	clarification, Madam Chair.
11	CHAIR MILLER: Okay. Okay.
12	MR. SHORT: I just, again, you
13	know, coming in kind of late.
14	CHAIR MILLER: But it's good to
15	hear that you've already done another
16	Settlement.
17	MR. BURCHELL: Yes. We've had it
18	ratified by the Board, we've submitted it to
19	ABRA
20	CHAIR MILLER: Which goes to your
21	concern about community.
22	MR. SHORT: So you said you can

That means anyone who applies

applicant.

1 for the liquor license for this 2 establishment. Not just Dr. Wesley Gordon. He was not an applicant at the time that 3 4 this agreement was written. He held a 5 liquor license. So this is to cover anyone They would have to meet the 6 who applies. 7 terms of this agreement. It's attached to the liquor license. 8 9 CHAIR MILLER: Okay. MR. MCPHERSON: So the 10 11 interpretation that I'm hearing is not what 12 paragraph 22 means. I don't know how you'll 13 make it any clearer than the way it's written. 14 MR. BURCHELL: 15 May I --CHAIR MILLER: 16 Okay. 17 MR. BURCHELL: address that 18 issue? CHAIR MILLER: Okay. 19 Yes. Ιt 20 goes to the point. That goes to the point. 21 Okay. 22 MR. BURCHELL: And that goes

right to the point. If you go to page one of the Voluntary Settlement Agreement, and it states, this Voluntary agreement (the agreement), is entered into and made this 14th day of February, 1998, by and between Caribe Food Corporation (applicant), a DC Corporation, and Alpha McPherson, both individually and as President of GFEDDS Neighborhood Association, Incorporated (GFEDDS).

Now applicant is defined within the agreement. And the definition of applicant, according to this language, is Caribe Food Corporation. Every time that they refer to applicant, it's talking about Caribe Food Corporation. Just like every time they referred to GFEDDS, they were referring to both Mr. Alpha McPherson and GFEDDS Neighborhood Association, Incorporated.

CHAIR MILLER: Okay.

MR. BURCHELL: That paragraph

1 should be read within the entire agreement.

CHAIR MILLER: Okay. Mr.

Alberti?

MEMBER ALBERTI: I just want to make a comment, and this is my opinion. I have not changed my mind about the original Order. I think that paragraph 22 in the original SA is pretty clear, the plain meaning of that is that it wouldn't apply to the current licensees. That's my opinion.

However, what the Board has not considered, and I think that we need to think about this a bit, is because this license could revert back to an entity that may have been established by Dr. Gordon, obviously had an interest in this building or some company, and we'd have to figure that out, but I think we need to think about what happens if the license reverts back with respect to how this Settlement Agreement would apply. And I don't know. This gets very complicated, but my opinion

	Page 41
1	CHAIR MILLER: Yes.
2	MEMBER ALBERTI: that under
3	advisement.
4	CHAIR MILLER: Okay. My opinion
5	
6	MEMBER ALBERTI: I don't have an
7	opinion, that's your, look, right. I
8	haven't thought this through enough, and I'd
9	like, I'd like to hear some other legal
10	arguments on that. But for now, I think the
11	issue with this current licensee is settled,
12	in my opinion, it doesn't apply to them.
13	Now the Gordon
14	MRS. GORDON: I'm here.
15	MEMBER ALBERTI: Mrs. Gordon
16	might have, may have an opinion because she
17	has a right to this license if these lessees
18	default. So, but
19	MR. BURCHELL: Well, if I may,
20	just
21	CHAIR MILLER: Yes.
22	MR. BURCHELL: First of all, just

1	to be clear, I'm not an advocate of Dr.
2	Wesley Gordon, or Caribe Food Corporation,
3	or Mrs. Gordon. I'm here representing
4	Micherie, LLC.
5	MEMBER ALBERTI: Right.
6	MR. BURCHELL: Our interest is
7	not having the agreement applied to us.
8	Whatever the Board decides as far as Caribe
9	Food Corporation and Dr. Wesley Gordon, or
LO	any business that's established from one of
L1	the two, we have no say. We, matter of
L2	fact, it's our position that the Settlement
L3	Agreement doesn't apply to us, so we really
L4	can't offer any arguments, because
L5	technically we don't have privity of
L6	contract with this Settlement Agreement.
L7	That's been our position the entire time.
L8	MS. GREEN: Excuse me.
L9	CHAIR MILLER: Okay. Yes, Ms.
20	Green?
21	MS. GREEN: I think one of the, I
22	think one of the issues that, you know, in

Mr. Alberti's question about whether this
reverts back to the Gordon's, that is one
part of it. But what was not stated is,
does the applicant, or does the licensee at
this point, the current licensee, do they
have the ability to sell this to somebody
else? If tomorrow, if they, you know,
decide they don't want to be in the business
of running this establishment, do they have
the ability, under the terms of their
agreement with the Gordons, do they have the
ability, you know, to sell it to me or to,
you know, to Mr. McPherson, or to anybody
else? Because I just think this just goes
to the question again at paragraph 22, in
fact is this really, is this really an
ending of the Settlement Agreement?
MEMBER ALBERTI: I'm sorry, Ms.
Green. I don't understand how it relates to

Green. I don't understand how it relates to paragraph 22 at all. I mean, what they do the license, what they're allowed to do with the license, I don't see how that relates to

1	paragraph 22 at all.
2	MS. GREEN: Well, to me
3	MEMBER ALBERTI: Can you maybe
4	explain that to me?
5	MS. GREEN: Well, in your fact
6	finding Order, you said there was no
7	connection. And if there is, to me there
8	would still be a connection if they aren't
9	free to sell this, you know, to transfer
10	this license to somebody else. There's
11	still, this connection is still there. If
12	they're being limited, if they're being
13	limited by the agreement
14	MEMBER ALBERTI: I see what
15	you're saying.
16	MS. GREEN: Okay. If they're
17	being limited. So, I mean, there are
18	different scenarios.
19	MEMBER ALBERTI: And that goes to
20	my, and that goes to the concern I just
21	MS. GREEN: Right. It goes to
22	that concern. And what we were, in terms of

explained to us, and again, I'm not an attorney and I'm not representing myself this way, and I'm not representing myself as an expert on ABC law in this city, which I know is very complex. What was represented to us when we first got into this in a public meeting was that this Settlement Agreement took precedence over an ANC Settlement Agreement.

That's what this, that's the way it was represented by staff to us, that this, that this took precedence. That if this is still in effect, then, you know, it was in effect until 2015, 2016, and then the ANC agreement, you know, then another agreement could, that's the way it was represented to us.

And then what happened is that the Cheerz, you know, said, hey, we don't think this agreement is, so we're voiding this agreement.

CHAIR MILLER: I don't believe

that they said they were voiding the

agreement, because I just heard Mr. Burchell

say that they're not a party to the

agreement, they're not bound by the

agreement.

MS. GREEN: Okay. That the agreement should be -- then my term is wrong.

CHAIR MILLER: Okay.

MS. GREEN: The term should be, should be eliminated as not binding, whatever. Our ANC went into a Settlement Agreement with them, and that to me is a separate issue. And I'm not disputing the validity of the ANC agreement. Just for the record, I am not. I'm not saying that we didn't vote to do it or anything else. I'm simply saying that the matter of this particular agreement with GFEDDS and with Mr. McPherson is a separate issue, and I don't want to interpret, again, ABC law, but

That might be a little bit broad, but what

we're going, what we have said in our Order

21

1	is that that Settlement Agreement terminated
2	by its own terms. But we gave you all the
3	opportunity to come forward, make any other
4	arguments, whatever. So what we'll do is
5	we'll take under advisement what we've heard
6	today and then confirm or not, you know,
7	this Order. So if this Order stands, then
8	that agreement is terminated, so your
9	MRS. GORDON: I understand.
10	CHAIR MILLER: Settlement
11	Agreement is unaffected by it. Right? I
12	don't want to go too far down legal
13	conclusion, but it won't be in existence.
14	Okay. But I understand that we will look at
15	the, you know, if we decide that no, it
16	isn't terminated, then we will consider what
17	you were addressing about passing on or
18	whatever, those issues. Okay. All right.
19	I think we've heard a lot. Thank you. So
20	we'll get back to you soon on this.
21	MS. GREEN: Thank you. I

22

appreciate your time.

	Page 49
1	MR. BURCHELL: Thank you.
2	CHAIR MILLER: Okay. Thank you.
3	(Whereupon, the above hearing was
4	concluded at 2:55 p.m.)
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

A
ABC 9:2 14:13
15:9,20 16:16
23:11 35:5 45:5
46:22
ability 11:6 43:6,10
43:12 47:6,8
above-entitled
22:13
ABRA 19:17 25:7
26:10 35:19
absolute 15:21
16:10
absolutely 6:14
22:2 29:13
active 24:14
actual 13:13
add 5:7,12 7:19 9:4
36:11
additional 7:9 9:4
address 4:12 8:3
37:17
addressing 48:17
admit 12:6,6
advisement 4:15
41:3 48:5
advocate 42:1
affect 32:13 33:19
affiliated 10:6
afternoon 2:15 3:3
agency 31:16
ago 34:6
agree 22:3
agreed 27:18,19
agreement 3:13,14
3:15,17 4:6 5:1,2
6:1,5,6 8:19,21
9:20 10:4,16,17
10:22 11:2,10,20
12:8,11,15,22
13:6,13 14:9 19:9
20:5 21:15,18,21
27:9,12,13,17
29:3 30:3,13 31:3
31:6,7,9,17,21,22
32:5,6,12,13,18
33:19 34:1,10,12

34:20 36:8 37:4,7 38:2,3,4,12 39:1 39:21 40:18 42:7 42:13,16 43:11,17 44:13 45:9,10,16 45:17,21,22 46:3 46:5,6,8,14,16,20 47:11,14 48:1,8 48:11 agreement's 40:20 agreements 30:5 33:10,12,14 ahead 29:17 31:14 Alberti 1:16 17:19 17:22 18:10,19,22 19:3,8,20 20:1,3 20:11 21:3,8,11 22:2,5,9,19 23:3 27:4,8,14,19,22 28:9,12,17 29:2,6 29:13,16 31:11,15 31:19 39:3,4 40:4 40:5,7,21 41:2,6 41:15 42:5 43:18 44:3,14,19 Alberti's 43:1
Alcoholic 1:2,11,12
alive 14:11,18
allowed 11:3,21
43:21
Alpha 3:4 38:7,18 amendment 31:16
ANC 2:7 11:13
34:1,8,11 36:3
45:1,9,16 46:13
46:16
and/or 24:12
answer 17:1,1,7,18
20:6 21:1,7
answered 17:3
anybody 43:13
apologize 12:19
appear 24:13
appeared 24:6
Appellant 8:22
applicant 4:11 9:1

9:8 11:6 14:10,12

14:19 15:5 17:9
17:10,12 36:22
37:3 38:6,11,13
38:15 43:4
applied 42:7
applies 9:7 12:12
36:22 37:6
apply 5:21 12:15
13:7 39:9,21 40:1
40:10 41:12 42:13
appreciate 48:22
appropriate 25:6
approve 11:14
argue 7:4 10:10
argument 4:3 6:22
8:7 10:11 12:1
13:3,5
arguments 4:4 6:20
22:6 41:10 42:14
48:4
Articles 28:19
aside 20:7
asking 15:1 17:8,9
17:9 33:9
associated 27:9
Association 30:20
38:9,19
assume 27:9 28:4
assumed 28:7
assuming 11:16
15:3,4
assumptions 35:2
attached 3:15 37:7
attorney 19:6 45:3
August 5:14 7:10
8:8 31:4
automatically
12:12
available 9:19
Ave 1:7
Avenue 2:6
aware 14:15 35:4
B
back 12:1 13:2 18:7

29:8 39:14,19
40:14,19 43:2
48:20
based 24:15 26:5
34:17,18,19 35:8
47:13
basically 4:1,12
18:15 27:16 34:11
34:21
basis 13:21 16:21
behalf 2:16
believe 5:18 14:3
19:14,17 21:1
23:2 36:7 46:1
belly 20:15
benefit 14:5
Beverage 1:2,11,12
beyond 14:3 22:1
big 10:9,15
binding 46:12
bit 39:13 47:21
Board 1:2,12 3:11
3:14 9:6 17:14
20:9 24:16 33:11
35:18 39:11 42:8
Board's 5:19 17:5
47:9
bound 46:5
brief 22:14
brings 17:22
broad 47:21
broken 27:2
BROOKS 1:15
brought 12:2
building 1:12 12:7
13:4,11 19:12
20:17 39:16
built 32:18
Burchell 2:15,16
7:7,14,22 8:2,6,13
10:14 13:19 14:1
19:7,7,8,13,22
20:2,10,20 21:5
21:10,13 22:4,8
22:11,15,21 23:4
23:5,6,12,14,17
23:22 24:4,19

25:2,5,13,16,19
26:4,9,13 27:7,11
27:16,20 28:2,11
28:15,19 29:5,10
29:15,22 30:4,9
30:15,18 31:1,10
31:14 32:3,9 33:1
33:4,16,21 34:5
35:17 36:2,7
37:15,17,22 38:22
41:19,22 42:6
46:3 49:1
business 5:21 8:17
9:3 10:7 11:7
13:1 14:14,16
15:9 16:3,7,8
17:13 23:21 24:1
24:14 26:3,6
32:14 33:20 35:3
42:10 43:8
businesses 33:13
C

C 2:1 call 2:4 4:3 18:2 called 2:5 capacity 29:1 captures 18:22 **Caribe** 9:9,11 10:1 10:7,20 24:5 25:16,21 27:6 28:10,13,22 30:19 38:6,14,16 42:2,8 case 1:8 2:9 9:13 13:7 18:2 24:2 certainly 13:7 **chain** 27:2 **Chair** 2:3,18,21 3:2 3:6,9 4:18,21 5:6 5:10,14,17 6:19

7:2,5,12,15 8:1,5 8:12 10:13 13:16 13:20 14:2 15:8 17:4,17,21 20:12 23:5,7,13,15,19

18:14 19:11,18

21:16 22:15,18

24:3,17,22 25:4

25:10,15,18 26:1

26:8,12,15,19
27:1 29:18 32:15
32:21 33:2,7
34:14 35:10,11,14
35:20 36:10,13,16
36:18 37:9,16,19
38:21 39:2 40:16
41:1,4,21 42:19
46:1,10 47:3,12
47:17,20 48:10
49:2
Chairperson 1:13
1:15
changed 39:6
0
changes 11:15
Cheerz 1:6 2:5,17
2:20 3:1,16 6:7,8
6:13 15:22 19:15
24:21 45:20 47:6
choose 7:19
circumstances
11:16
city 45:5
claim 20:17
clarification 7:11
8:15 35:10
clarify 32:16
clear 6:11 8:9,19
21:21 25:13 34:19
39:8 42:1
clearer 37:13
clears 33:5
clients 13:9 21:4
Code 11:3,4
collateral 20:19
COLUMBIA 1:1
come 2:10 40:19
48:3
comes 21:14
coming 29:21 32:8
35:13
comment 39:5
Commissioner 3:8
community 11:14
33:13,20 35:21
company 9:1 14:11
14:19 15:6,14,16

18:10 26:16 39:17 compel 17:11,12 completely 22:6 complex 45:6 complicated 39:22 concern 35:21 36:6 44:20,22 concerns 4:14 concluded 49:4 conclusion 7:16 34:17 48:13 confirm 48:6 connection 6:3.10 44:7.8.11 47:16 47:19 connections 9:14 consider 40:13 48:16 consideration 47:10 considered 39:12 constituent 47:2 contain 19:9 contested 3:21 continued 18:14 continuing 16:21 contract 42:16 **Control** 1:2,11,12 corporation 9:9 10:1,7,20 21:16 24:6,10 25:22 28:6,10,14,22 30:19 38:6,7,14 38:16 42:2,9 Corporation's 25:17 correct 4:19 5:16 26:19 27:3,4 counsel 2:16 course 6:5 **cover** 37:5 covers 5:20 8:17 **CR** 1:7 **current** 18:3,4 39:10 40:1,10

41:11 43:5

D **D** 2:1 **D.C** 1:13 d/b/a 25:17 **date** 30:6.7 **Dated** 5:14 day 38:5 **DC** 11:3,4 26:10,14 38:6 **deal** 16:19 Deanna 2:22 **decide** 18:6,11 43:8 48:15 **decided** 16:2 40:8 **decides** 20:14 42:8 decision 35:8 declared 18:13 **default** 19:12 41:18 **defer** 12:1 defined 38:11 definition 38:12 different 18:18 44:18 **direct** 20:12 discussed 31:5 discusses 13:1 **dispose** 16:11 disputing 46:15 **DISTRICT** 1:1 document 30:12 documents 17:13 36:4 **DONALD** 1:15 double-check 23:1 **Dr** 8:22 9:2,8,12,22 10:7 12:5,14 13:9 13:12 14:10,12,16 14:17,19 15:6,17 16:6 21:17 35:6 37:2 39:15 42:1,9 \mathbf{E} **E** 2:1.1 earlier 24:4 34:8 36:3,20 **effect** 18:14 31:9,19 45:14,15

effective 21:19 **either** 9:10 eliminated 46:12 elimination 33:19 **email** 34:9 36:1 emailed 36:3 ends 40:18 enforceable 11:11 enforced 34:13 **Enforcement** 3:13 5:2 **entered** 31:3 36:8 38:4 **entire** 8:21 14:9 31:6 39:1 42:17 **entitled** 3:11 30:12 entity 39:14 40:15 establish 23:10 established 9:1 14:12,16,19 15:6 15:14,17 18:7,11 21:17 26:17 35:6 39:15 42:10 establishment 2:5 4:11 7:6 9:10 35:5 37:2 43:9 everybody's 14:5 **exact** 11:4 **Excuse** 42:18 executed 33:22 existence 48:13 existing 11:6 exists 23:18,20 **expert** 45:5 expiration 32:18 **expired** 32:22 **explain** 27:14 44:4 explained 45:2 express 4:16 extend 11:19 21:22 extremely 12:20 F **fact** 1:6 2:4,8 3:21 28:21 32:2,17

42:12 43:16 44:5

47:15

fair 17:10.15 36:8 **familiar** 28:16 far 42:8 48:12 fear 19:1 February 30:11 38:5 **Federal** 26:11,13 **figure** 39:17 **file** 3:19 34:7 **filed** 4:19 7:10 17:2 25:5 **finally** 6:13 **find** 32:12 **finding** 1:6 2:4,8 3:21 32:17 44:6 47:15 **fine** 32:9 **first** 8:4.16 9:6 17:18 30:7,9 41:22 45:7 focus 31:6 34:15 **follow** 25:7 **Food** 9:9 10:1 24:6 25:16,21 27:6 28:13,22 30:19 38:6,14,16 42:2,9 **Forgive 29:20** forgotten 18:3 **forward** 2:10 48:3 **found** 3:15 26:10 32:21 free 16:11 44:9 **front** 20:22 30:10 **funds** 16:14 **future** 11:14 16:14 G G2:1**Georgia** 1:7 2:6 getting 17:2 **GFEDDS** 3:4 30:19 38:8,10,17,19 46:20 **give** 11:4 21:6 22:11 30:11

go 6:16 13:3,12,16

14:3 16:4 18:14

22:12 23:20 29:11	hear 3:21 35:15	invitation 17:6	leave 16:5	lump 16:21
29:17 31:14 38:1	41:9	invited 3:18	led 19:17	
48:12	heard 46:3 48:5,19	involves 11:5	legal 2:16 34:16	M
goes 13:2 20:15	hearing 1:6,12 3:21	issue 12:4 37:18	35:8 36:1 41:9	Madam 35:10
35:20 36:14 37:20	4:1,7 24:7 35:1	41:11 46:15,21	48:12	Marvin 2:19 9:18
37:20,22 43:14	37:11 49:3	issued 3:11 4:13	legally 6:15	matter 1:4 22:13
44:19,20,21	HECTOR 1:17	7:15 18:5	lessee 20:14 29:4,5	42:11 46:19
going 2:3 5:21 8:2	held 10:3 23:10	issues 7:9 8:3 42:22	29:6	McPherson 3:3,4
8:10 10:14 13:16	28:21 37:4	48:18	lessees 41:17	4:17,20 5:4,8,11
14:4 18:6 47:22	helpful 21:12		let's 18:2,11 28:4	5:16,18 6:21 7:3
good 2:15 3:3 33:14	HERMAN 1:16	<u>J</u>	letter 17:2	8:4 36:17,19
35:14	hey 45:20	JAMES 1:18	license 1:8 2:6 3:16	37:10 38:7,18
Gordon 8:22 9:2,8	holding 26:7 28:22	JONES 1:16	5:22 6:4,5,14,15	43:13 46:21
9:12,22 10:8 12:5	holds 9:2 14:13	July 3:10	6:16 9:2 10:21	mean 6:21 7:13
12:14 13:10,12	15:9	jumping 12:18	12:9 14:13 15:9	12:11 13:20 14:22
14:10,13,16,18,20	honest 21:6		15:21 16:1,11,14	17:11 18:1 19:20
15:6,17 16:6,7,8	husband 16:9		16:17 18:5 19:10	43:20 44:17
16:13 18:7,11		Kelly 2:16 19:7	19:15 20:13,18	meaning 11:17
21:17 22:18 23:9	I	kind 29:21 32:7	23:11 24:8,11,17	14:6 39:9
23:10 26:17 27:20	identified 9:17	35:13 40:22	26:7,16 27:5,18	means 36:21,22
28:5,7,8 35:7 37:2	identifies 11:8	know 15:3,19 16:4	28:1,21 29:3 37:1	37:12
39:15 40:14 41:13	identify 2:14	16:8,13,13,14,15	37:5,8 39:14,19	meet 37:6
41:14,15 42:2,3,9	ignore 29:14	16:16,17,18,22	41:17 43:21,22	meeting 1:3 45:8
48:9	important 6:10	17:1,13 19:3,3,15	44:10 47:7	member 1:15,16,16
Gordon's 43:2	12:20	20:6,6 23:9,10	licensee 6:3 11:7	1:17,17,18 17:19
Gordons 6:9,12,17	inactive 24:10	24:1 26:21 28:3,3	40:14 41:11 43:4	17:22 18:10,19,22
43:11	include 11:18	28:21 34:8 35:7	43:5	19:3,8,20 20:1,3
gotten 7:17	incorporated 31:20	35:13 37:12 39:21	licensees 16:16	20:11 21:3,8,11
Graves 2:19,19	38:9,20	42:22 43:7,12,13	18:3,4 39:10 40:2	22:2,5,9,19 23:3
9:18 26:18,21	Incorporation 3:5	44:9 45:6,14,16	40:10	27:4,8,14,19,22
great 16:19	28:20	45:20 47:1 48:6	licenses 15:20	28:9,12,17 29:2,6
Green 3:7,7 14:21	incorrect 5:20	48:15	16:18	29:13,16 31:11,15
15:11 17:8,22	incorrectly 15:4		limited 34:22 44:12	31:19 33:11 39:4
18:9,16,21 19:2	individually 38:8	language 8:18,20	44:13,17	40:5,7,21 41:2,6
42:18,20,21 43:19	individuals 9:16	9:5 10:2 12:2	liquor 12:9 24:8	41:15 42:5 43:18
44:2,5,16,21 46:7	information 34:17	36:21 38:13	37:1,5,8	44:3,14,19
46:11 47:4,13,18	inherited 28:13	late 29:21 32:8	listen 4:13,14	members 11:14
48:21	instance 35:3,4	35:13	little 12:16 18:18	met 1:12
guess 14:14 33:8	intend 6:2	law 11:3,22 45:5	33:22 34:6 47:21	Micherie 1:5 9:15
guessing 15:15	Intent 3:12 4:22	46:22	LLC 1:5 9:15 12:6	10:5 11:18 12:6
	interest 28:8,13	lease 6:11 12:5	42:4	42:4
H	39:16 42:6	14:22 15:2,15,16	located 2:5	MIKE 1:17
happen 19:1 25:1	interesting 18:1	15:16 19:9,11	longer 14:11 16:3	Miller 1:13,15 2:3
happened 45:19	interim 31:2,5 32:4	20:16 22:17,20	23:17,19,20 26:6	2:18,21 3:2,6,9
happens 15:19 20:4	interpret 46:22	27:12,17 29:3,9	look 41:7 48:14	4:18,21 5:6,10,14
39:19 40:13	interpretation 5:19	leasing 6:7,8	Looking 23:8	5:17 6:19 7:2,5,12
head 19:14 20:21	36:20 37:11	10401115 0.7,0	lot 33:12 48:19	7:15 8:1,5,12
			l	I

10:13 13:16,20	noticed 33:12	35:5	26:22 28:5,8	20:16 22:17 40:17
14:2 15:8 17:4,17	notifying 11:8	operating 13:1 16:9	passing 48:17	provisions 11:10
17:21 23:5,7,13	nuance 22:6	opinion 39:5,10,22	payments 16:17,20	public 45:8
23:15,19 24:3,17	null 6:2	40:11 41:4,7,12	people 10:6 36:1	purely 28:4
24:22 25:4,10,15	Nullify 3:12 4:22	41:16	percent 20:22	purpose 17:2
25:18 26:1,8,12	Number 2:6	opportunity 48:3	22:16,22	purposes 24:8
26:15,19 27:1	NW 1:7	opposed 6:20	period 8:21 14:9	put 7:19 18:2 30:3
29:18 32:15,21		order 3:11,18 4:14	25:8	40:8
33:2,7 34:14	0	6:1 7:16 13:21	permission 16:6	
35:11,14,20 36:10	O 2:1	17:5 32:16 39:7	person 9:19	Q
36:13,16,18 37:9	oath 4:2	40:8 44:6 47:22	place 9:7 30:3	question 8:16 15:18
37:16,19 38:21	objection 3:19,19	48:7,7	plain 8:18,20 10:2	15:20,22 16:22
39:2 40:16 41:1,4	4:9,19,22 7:10	original 7:10 31:17	11:17 12:2 14:6	17:3,15,15,20
41:21 42:19 46:1	10:10	31:20 32:12 39:6	36:21 39:8 40:9	18:12,15 20:4,7,8
46:10 47:3,12,17	objections 4:10	39:8 40:8,9	please 2:14 8:14	20:13 21:1 23:8
47:20 48:10 49:2	5:13	owned 9:15 25:21	40:6	29:19 43:1,15
mind 39:6	objectors 14:15	35:6	point 18:1 37:20,20	47:5
Mm-hmm 23:6	obtain 28:1	owner 2:20 3:1 6:9	38:1 43:5	questioning 47:16
modification 10:17	obtained 27:6	19:11 20:17 28:6	posing 20:7	questions 6:18,20
11:1	obviously 39:16	29:8	position 26:2 42:12	6:22 7:4,8 8:14
modified 24:12	OCTOBER 1:10	ownership 10:20	42:17	17:6 33:9
moment 21:6	offer 42:14	11:9,15 28:8	possessing 6:4	quite 18:17
money 16:20	Office 32:4		possession 19:16	R
moot 18:13	oh 26:12 40:20	<u>P</u>	possible 28:6	$\frac{\mathbf{R}}{\mathbf{R}2:1}$
moving 12:2,18	okay 2:3,18,21 3:9	P 2:1	precedence 45:9,13	· ·
	4:15,18,21 5:2,5	p.m 2:2 49:4	premise 6:8,8	raised 7:9 8:3 ratified 34:2 35:18
N	5:10,17 6:19 7:2	page 38:1	premises 9:3 14:14	read 30:2 33:10
N 2:1	7:14,22 8:1,5,11	paper 2:12	15:10 23:11	39:1
N.W 1:13	8:12,15 10:13,18	paperwork 25:6	Present 1:14,20 4:4	
name 9:19 18:4	17:4,21 18:9 19:5	paragraph 5:19,20	President 3:4 38:8	ready 2:13 really 7:18,20
19:6 25:20	22:15 23:8,8 24:3	8:17 10:2 11:18	presiding 1:13	18:22 21:8 42:13
narrowed 34:15	25:4,10,12,15,18	13:22 14:17 21:20	pretty 7:12 8:19	43:16,16 47:7,9
necessary 13:18	26:1,1,8,12,19	21:22 34:19 36:14	33:14 39:8	
36:4	27:1,3,3 29:7,17	36:15,21 37:12	primarily 36:13	reason 21:18 32:10 33:8
need 14:3 22:9	29:17,22 30:9,14	38:22 39:7 43:15	privity 42:15	reasons 13:5
39:12,18	30:21 31:18 32:1	43:20 44:1	problem 7:22 13:19	recess 22:14
negotiating 10:18	33:4,7 35:11,11	Pardon 40:5	22:8	
Neighborhood 3:5	36:10,18 37:9,16	Parking 31:2,5,8	procedures 25:3,7	record 2:14 5:3,5 7:20 9:17 10:12
30:20 38:9,19	37:19,21 38:21	32:5,13,17	29:12	24:5,9 25:14
new 10:11	39:2 40:12 41:4	part 6:10 10:1,15	processes 29:11	46:17
NICK 1:16	42:19 44:16 46:7	29:2 34:7 43:3	produce 17:12	records 26:5
Northwest 2:6	46:10 47:3,12,17	particular 7:21	protest 25:8	Reeves 1:12
note 10:12 24:5	47:20 48:14,18	46:20	provide 15:5	refer 38:15
noted 24:9	49:2	parties 10:18	provided 15:2	references 32:4
notes 12:3 20:21	once 28:8 40:18	party 46:4	provision 9:5,7	referred 38:17
notice 3:12 4:22	open 7:13	pass 47:8	11:4,12,21 12:1	referring 38:18
25:1	operate 11:7 16:3	passed 13:10 23:9	12:13,17 19:10	161611111g 30.10
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ı

	17.21 19.20 21	gottlom om (2.12.14	atomt 2:10 4:0	49.2
refining 20:12	17:21 18:20,21	settlement 3:13,14	start 3:10 4:8	48:2
regard 8:20	19:1,4 20:21	3:15,17 4:6 5:1,2	state 3:10	testimony 4:2
Register 26:14	26:15 27:6 28:9	9:20 10:4,22 11:2	stated 3:20 43:3	Thank 23:3 29:7
rein 16:11	29:7,16 30:10	11:10 12:8,11,14	statement 47:14	35:9 36:5 48:19
related 17:20	33:3 34:9 38:1	12:22 13:6,13	statements 7:17	48:21 49:1,2
relates 14:17 43:19	40:7,10 41:7,17	20:4 21:15,18	states 8:20 38:3	thing 10:9 12:19
43:22	42:5 44:21 47:20	30:3 31:17,21	status 23:16 24:7	15:12 21:13
relation 9:22	48:11,18	33:10 34:1,10,12	33:3	things 5:11 30:2
relationship 15:13	RODRIGUEZ 1:17	35:16 38:2 39:20	statutes 10:15	33:6
relevant 47:9	Room 1:12	42:12,16 43:17	Stay 3:13 5:1	think 4:8 11:17
remains 15:7	running 43:9	45:1,8,10 46:13	step 13:14,14	13:14,20 14:22
reminded 13:9	Ruthanne 1:13,15	48:1,10	stop 8:14	17:5,10,20 18:15
renege 22:19	S	sheet 2:12	Street 1:13 31:2,5	22:9,16 36:19
reneges 20:14		Short 1:18 29:20	32:4,17	39:7,12,13,18,18
renegotiation	S 2:1	30:1,6,14,16,21	structure 12:10	40:16,18,22 41:10
11:20	SA 39:8 40:9	31:8,11,18 32:1,7	subject 34:22,22	42:21,22 43:14
renewed 24:8,11,12	safekeeping 24:9	32:11,20 33:5,8	submit 8:18 9:5	45:21 47:5 48:19
rent 12:10 13:4,10	sales 27:9,13	33:18 34:4 35:9	submitted 8:7 9:21	third 9:18
20:15	sanctioned 11:22	35:12,22 36:5,12	35:18	thought 41:8
rented 12:13 13:6	Sands 6:3 23:12,13	36:15 40:3,6	subsidiary 9:11	three 9:15
renting 12:7	23:16 24:18 25:11	side 33:15	sudden 21:19	tied 6:5
repeat 14:5 40:3,6	25:11,17,19 27:2	sign 2:12	suddenly 12:15	time 24:11,14 37:3
reports 24:15 26:10	Sara 3:7	Silver 6:3 23:12,13	sum 16:21	38:14,17 42:17
represent 47:1	saying 44:15 46:17	23:16 24:18 25:10	supplement 8:7	48:22
represented 45:6	46:19	25:11,17,19 27:2	sure 5:8 7:4 8:8	titled 31:2
45:12,18	says 4:21 6:1,1 14:8	SILVERSTEIN	13:17 20:22 23:1	today 6:16 9:19
representing 42:3	14:18 15:8 21:21	1:17	23:22 27:21	24:4 48:6
45:3,4	40:17	simple 18:2	suspect 6:11	tomorrow 15:22
request 17:14	scenario 19:4	simply 18:2 46:19		43:7
requirements	scenarios 44:18	sir 19:5 29:15	T	top 19:13 20:20
11:13	seat 2:10	situation 12:21	t/a 1:6	topic 4:7
research 26:5	second 11:22 13:17	skipping 8:10	table 2:11	total 33:19
researching 24:2	22:12 30:8,11,21	soliciting 7:21	take 2:10 4:15 48:5	totally 16:10
respect 33:15 39:20	31:1	somebody 16:2	talked 14:6	transfer 6:17 10:3
respond 7:6	see 21:18 43:22	43:6 44:10 47:8	talking 38:15	10:4,19 11:9
responding 7:8	44:14	soon 48:20	technically 42:15	15:21 16:1,19
response 7:18	seek 8:14	sorry 12:18 43:18	tell 26:5	18:6 19:18 21:16
restaurant 5:22	seeking 30:5 34:12	sort 18:1	term 8:20 14:8	27:18 44:9
25:20	seen 14:21 15:2	speak 2:9	40:17,17,18 46:8	transferred 19:16
restraints 11:5	sell 6:15 43:6,12	specifically 11:8	46:11 47:13	24:21 25:1,11
result 27:11	44:9	speculating 28:18	terminated 3:16	transfers 10:5 29:4
Retailer 1:7	sense 10:2 12:16	speculation 28:4	4:6 34:20 48:1,8	treated 31:16
revert 19:10 22:18	sent 34:8	spot 12:13	48:16	try 34:14
39:14	separate 46:15,21	staff 45:12	terms 3:17 10:21	trying 30:1 32:1
reverts 29:7 39:19	September 34:2	stand 31:21 40:11	20:12 22:20 27:17	two 9:16 30:4 42:11
40:14 43:2	set 9:10,12 11:15	stands 11:12 47:11	29:8 34:21 37:7	two-part 15:12
right 3:2,6 6:14 9:6	settled 41:11	48:7	40:9 43:10 44:22	type 11:1,20
,,,,,,,,,				V F

		2012 24 5 7 20 26 6	
U	went 24:1 25:2 26:2	2013 24:5,7,20 26:6	
unaffected 48:11	46:13	2014 1:10 3:11 5:15	
understand 15:12	weren't 35:4	24:20	
22:5 43:19 48:9	Wesley 8:22 9:2,8	2015 45:15	
48:14	9:12,22 10:8 12:5	2016 45:15	
understanding	13:9,12 14:10,12	22 5:19,20 8:17	
27:5	14:17,20 21:17	10:2 11:18 13:21	
understands 8:9	26:17 35:6 37:2	13:22 14:4 21:20	
use 13:4	42:2,9	21:22 23:8 34:2	
$\overline{\mathbf{v}}$	wife 13:11,11	34:20 36:14,15,21	
	words 10:19 14:7	37:12 39:7 43:15	
validity 46:16	34:19	43:20 44:1	
variation 18:19	worked 25:6	25-4-46.02 11:5	
violate 29:8	wouldn't 39:9	28th 8:8	
void 3:12 5:1 6:2	wrinkle 40:12	3	
30:5	written 7:17 37:4		
voiding 45:21 46:2	37:14	4	
Voluntary 8:19	wrong 35:2 46:9	4 7:11	
13:13 30:12 31:7	X	4B 2:7 34:1,9,11	
32:5 38:2,3		36:3	
vote 46:18	Y	4B01 3:8	
W	year 30:2 34:4	4th 5:14	
wait 13:17 18:7,8	years 40:19		
want 3:9 4:5,9 5:7	Yep 19:22	5	
5:12 14:14 16:3	7	6	
16:12 17:17 18:12	Z		
19:5,21 23:7	0	7	
31:13 32:11,16		7303 1:7 2:5	
34:14 39:4 43:8	1		
46:22 48:12	11:10	8	
wants 4:11 7:3 16:1	100 20:22 22:16,22		
Washington 1:13	10th 24:7 26:6	9	
wasn't 27:12	11th 30:11	95178 1:8 2:7	
Watson 2:22,22	14th 1:12 38:5		
9:18	16 3:11		
way 16:7,11,12	18th 31:4		
20:18 37:13 45:4	1998 30:11 38:5		
45:11,17			
we'll 48:4,5,20	2		
we're 4:12 7:20	2:07 2:2		
15:1 17:9,9 22:15	2:55 49:4		
22:22,22 30:5	2000 1:12 31:4		
34:12 45:21 47:22	2002 24:12,12		
we've 33:22 35:17	2004 24:13		
35:18 48:5,19	2007 24:13		
week 34:6,8 36:3	2010 24:13,18,20		
		<u> </u>	 <u> </u>